

**TREATMENT OF IRRITABLE BOWEL SYNDROME
AND RELATED BOWEL DISEASES**

5

Cross-Reference to Related Application

10 This non-provisional application claims benefit of
provisional U.S. Serial No. 60/412,234, filed September 20, 2002,
now abandoned.

15 **BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION**

Field of the Invention

20 The present invention relates generally to the pharmacology
and medical therapeutics of irritable bowel syndrome and related
bowel diseases. More specifically, the present invention relates to
the uses of luminally active anti-inflammatory or

immunosuppressive compounds with minimal or no systemic side effects, for treatment of irritable bowel syndrome and related disorders.

5 Description of the Related Art

Irritable Bowel Syndrome is characterized, in part, by painful defecation and altered stool frequency/consistency and has a reported prevalence between 15-25% in both the industrialized and developing world. See Malcolm A, Kellow JE. Irritable Bowel Syndrome. MJA 1998;169:274-279. Irritable Bowel Syndrome, unlike most other diseases of the gastrointestinal tract, is not characterized by any specific, currently known histopathological changes, but rather is a functional disorder characterized, in part, by disturbed gut motility and/or abdominal pain perception linked 10 to cytokines and/or other inflammatory cascades. See Collins SM, et al., Stress, inflammation and the irritable bowel syndrome. *Can J Gastroenterol* 1999; 13; A:47A-49A. Irritable Bowel Syndrome also occurs in Inflammatory Bowel Disease (IBD) patients who are in remission from their symptoms, see Collins SM, et al. "Putative 15 inflammatory and immunological mechanisms in functional bowel 20

disorders" *Baillieres Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol* 1999; 13; 429-436.

The precise pathophysiology of irritable bowel syndrome
5 is not well understood. Nevertheless, there is a heightened sensitivity to visceral pain perception in irritable bowel syndrome, known as "peripheral sensitization". This sensitization involves a reduction in the threshold and an increase in the gain of the transduction processes of primary afferent neurons, attributable to
10 a variety of mediators including monoamines (both catecholamines and indoleamines), substance P, and variety of cytokines and prostanooids including the E-type prostaglandins. See Mayer et al.,: "Basic and clinical aspects of visceral hyperalgesia" *Gastroenterology* 1994;107:271-293. Also implicated in the
15 etiopathology of irritable bowel syndrome is intestinal motor dysfunction (gut dysmotility) which leads to abnormal handling of intraluminal contents and/or gas. See Kellow et al., "Altered small bowel motility in irritable bowel syndrome is correlated with symptoms" *Gastroenterology* 1987;92:1885-1893; Levitt et al., "The
20 relation of passage of gas and abdominal bloating to colonic gas production." *Ann Int Med* 1996;124:422-4. Psychological factors

may also contribute to irritable bowel syndrome symptoms appearing in conjunction with, if not triggered by, disturbances including depression and anxiety. See Drossman et al., "Psychosocial aspects of the functional bowel disorders"
5 *Gastroenterology Int* 1995;8:47-90.

Although the etiology of irritable bowel syndrome is not fully characterized, validated diagnostic schemata for irritable bowel syndrome are available. For example, the Rome criteria and
10 the Manning criteria allow the diagnosis of irritable bowel syndrome to be made based upon patient history. As an example, the Rome criteria requires three months of continuous or recurrent abdominal pain or discomfort that is relieved by defecation and/or associated with a change in stool frequency or consistency as well as
15 two or more of the following: altered stool frequency, altered stool form, altered stool passage, passage of mucus, or bloating and abdominal distention. The absence of any structural or biochemical disorders that could be causing the symptoms is also a necessary condition.

Irritable bowel syndrome represents a therapeutic challenge to both clinicians and developers of pharmaceuticals. The uncertainty and variety of causes, as well as the variable nature of symptomatic expression greatly complicates the task of treating this
5 disorder. As noted above, irritable bowel syndrome is a functional bowel disorder that is characterized by, for example, abdominal pain and/or discomfort in association with abnormal stool frequency and/or consistency, for example, diarrhea or constipation.

10

The earliest and most simple treatments have focused on symptomatic relief. For diarrhea-predominant irritable bowel syndrome, anti-diarrheal agents such as loperamide and diphenoxylate have been used with some success, especially in
15 acute, situation-specific settings. See Efskind et al., "A double blind, placebo-controlled trial with loperamide in irritable bowel syndrome" *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1996;31:463-8. Dietary supplementation with fiber or psyllium products has typically been recommended to irritable bowel syndrome patients, particularly
20 those with constipation-predominant symptoms. More recent studies, however have cast some doubt on the real benefit provided

by this strategy. See Lucy et al., "Is bran efficacious in irritable bowel syndrome? A double blind, placebo-controlled, crossover study" *Gut* 1987;28:221-25; Frances CY, Whorwell PJ: "Bran and irritable bowel syndrome: time for reappraisal" *Lancet* 1994;34:496-500.

More aggressive treatments for constipation-predominant symptoms include lactulose, docusate, and prokinetic agents such as cisapride. See Shutze et al., "Double-blind study of the effect of cisapride on constipation and abdominal discomfort as components of the irritable bowel syndrome" *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 1997;1 1:387-94. Symptomatic relief of the pain associated with irritable bowel syndrome has been attempted with a variety of smooth muscle relaxants/antispasmodics as well as anticholinergic agents. Meta-analyses of these studies indicate an efficacy greater than placebo for five agents: cimetropium bromide (antimuscarinic), primaverium/octolinium bromide (calcium antagonists), tremebutine (peripheral opiate antagonist), and mebeverine (anticholinergic). See Klein KB: "Controlled treatment trials in the irritable bowel syndrome" *Gastroenterology* 1988;95:232-241; Poynard T, Naveu S, Mory B: "Meta-analysis of

smooth muscle relaxants in the treatment of irritable bowel"
Aliment Pharmacol Ther 1994;8:499-510.

There are a number of prokinetic agents that have been
5 examined in the treatment of irritable bowel syndrome. Such
investigational prokinetic agents include nitric oxide synthase
inhibitors, adrenoceptor antagonists, gonadotropin-releasing
hormone (GnRH) analogues such as leuprolide, cholecystokinin-a
(CCK._{sub.A}) antagonists, and certain opioid receptor antagonists.
10 See DePonti et al., "Adrenergic mechanisms in the control of
gastrointestinal motility: from basic science to clinical applications"
Pharmacol Ther 1996;69:59-78; Tonini M: "Recent advances in the
pharmacology of gastrointestinal prokinetics" *Pharmacol Res*
1996;33:216-26; Mathias et al., "Effect of leuoprolide acetate in
15 patients with functional bowel disease. Long term follow up after
double blind, placebo-controlled study" *Dig Dis Sci* 1994;39:1155-
62; Wettstein et al., "CCK antagonists: pharmacology and therapeutic
interest" *Pharmacol Ther* 1994;62:267-82; Evans et al., "LY247636: a
selective antagonist for peripheral mu opioid receptors"
20 *Gastroenterology* 1994;106:A495. Adrenergic beta._{sub.3} selective
agonists have also been examined as potential

antispasmodic/smooth muscle relaxant. See DePonti et al., "Inhibitory effects of SR58611A on canine colonic motility: evidence for a role of β .sub.3-adrenoceptors" *Br J Pharmacol* 1995;14:1447-1453.

5

Many newer treatments also focus on targets, both peripheral and central, that are implicated in contributing to the cause and progression of irritable bowel syndrome. As mentioned above, visceral hyperalgesia (characterized by an abnormally low 10 pain threshold in GI afferent sensory neurons) has been implicated in irritable bowel syndrome etiopathology. The role of serotonin (5-HT) and its receptors in the GI tract has also been investigated. While many 5-HT receptor subtypes (5-HT₁, 5-HT₂, 5-HT₃ and 5-HT₄) are known to play a role in enteric 15 neuroregulation and perception, research and product development attention has focused, in particular, on the 5-HT₃ receptor, which is known to be present on substance-P containing afferent neurons within the gut. See Farthing MG: "5-hydroxytryptamine and 5-hydroxytryptamine-3 receptor antagonists" *Scand J Gastroenterol* 20 1991;26:92-100; Galligan JJ: "Electrophysiological studies of 5-hydroxytryptamine receptors on enteric neurons" In: Serotonin and

Gastrointestinal Function pp109-126, Gaginella TS and Galligan JJ (eds.) CRC Press, Boca Raton. However, while there are several 5-HT_{sub}.3 antagonists available, including ondansetron (which is an antiemetic) and granisetron, no such antagonist is currently approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for use in treating irritable bowel syndrome, although the FDA has approved Lotronex (alosetron hydrochloride), a potent serotonin 5HT-.sub.3 antagonist, for use in the treatment for diarrhea-predominant irritable bowel syndrome (D-IBS) in women. See Mangel AW, Northcutt AR: "Review article: the safety and efficacy of alosetron, a 5-HT_{sub}.3 receptor antagonist, in female irritable bowel syndrome patients" *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 1999;13 Suppl.2:77-82. However, after notifying the FDA of post-marketing reports that reported serious adverse events associated with Lotronex, Glaxo Wellcome withdrew Lotronex from the market.

Members of the class of 5-HT_{sub}.4 receptor antagonists has also been studied in this capacity. See Houghton et al., "5-HT_{sub}.4 antagonism in irritable bowel syndrome (IBS): effect of SB-207266A on rectal sensitivity and small bowel transit" *Gut* 1997;4Suppl.3:A26. In addition, other drugs being examined for

their modulation of visceral sensitivity include: (i) the opioid .kappa. receptor agonists such as fedotozine, which, unlike agents which act on opioid .mu. and .delta. receptors (located in the GI tract), does not appear to have undesirable central effects, and, (ii)

5 the somatostatin analogues such as octreotide. See Junien JL, Riviere P: "The hypersensitive gut-peripheral kappa agonists as a new pharmacological approach" *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 1995;9:117-26; Halser et al., "A somatostatin analogue inhibits afferent pathways mediating perception of rectal distention" *Gastroenterology*

10 1993;104:1390-7.

Antidepressants, which have been used for a number of years to treat associated affective disorder in irritable bowel syndrome patients, may address the increased pain perception that

15 many of these patients experience. In particular, the tricyclic antidepressants, which exert usefull actions at several locations along the brain-gut axis, may mediate the increased pain perception in these individuals. See Gorard et al., "Effect of a tricyclic antidepressant on small intestinal motility in health and diarrhea

20 predominant irritable bowel syndrome" *Dig Dis Sci* 1995;40:86-95; Peghini et al., "Imiprimine increases pain and sensation thresholds to

esophageal balloon distension in humans" *Gastroenterology* 1997;12:A255.

Sigma 1 receptor ("sigma.1," "sigma," or "sigma 1") agonists have been shown to possess antidepressant and anxiolytic properties. In vitro and in vivo studies have demonstrated that sigma 1 sites are implicated in control of motor behavior, regulation of smooth muscle contraction, and control of gut secretions (specifically alkaline secretions), and therefore may alleviate the increased gut motility and increased gut secretions from which many irritable bowel syndrome patients suffer. See Walker et al., "Control of motor behavior" *Neurology* 1988; 38; 961-965; Vaupel et al., "Regulation of smooth muscle" *Eur J Pharmacol* 1987; 139; 125-128; Campbell et al., "Regulation of neurotransmitter release" *Eur J Pharmacol* 1987; 138; 447-449.

As can be seen by the discussion *supra*, the symptomologies of irritable bowel syndrome are caused by a complex pharmacology. Current treatment options for irritable bowel syndrome and/or related conditions do not adequately address the complexities of irritable bowel syndrome and therefore,

current treatments remain inadequate or, as exemplified by the recent withdrawal from the United States market of the serotonin 5HT-.sub.3 antagonist Lotronex (alosetron hydrochloride). A treatment that is effective in treating bowel disorders such as 5 irritable bowel syndrome, without producing severe adverse effects, is therefore desired. More particularly, a treatment for bowel disorders such as irritable bowel syndrome that works via a plurality of pharmacological mechanisms, is desired; such a treatment may be the most efficacious solution to the treatment of irritable bowel 10 syndrome. Novel treatment options that may address one or more of the multiple therapeutic targets associated with irritable bowel syndrome and related bowel disorders or alleviate one or more of the symptomologies of irritable bowel syndrome and the related bowel disorders as described above, are therefore in great demand 15 currently.

The prior art is deficient in an effective treatment for irritable bowel syndrome. The present invention fulfills this longstanding need and desire in the art.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention is provides a new treatment for irritable bowel syndrome. The precise pathophysiology of irritable bowel syndrome is not well understood. Nevertheless, there is a heightened sensitivity to visceral pain perception in irritable bowel syndrome, known as visceral hyperalgesia. This sensitization involves a reduction in the threshold and an increase in the gain of the transduction processes of primary afferent neurons as well as spinal and other central neurons. In the periphery, this may be attributable to a variety of mediators including monoamines (both catecholamines and indoleamines), substance P, and variety of cytokines and prostanoids including the E-type prostaglandins.

Even though evidence for inflammation may exist in irritable bowel syndrome, it is clearly different than a typical inflammatory disorder such as ulcerative colitis or Crohn's Disease. First, there is no evidence for tissue injury or destruction either at the macroscopic or microscopic level. Secondly, the major cell types that appear to be affected in irritable bowel syndrome are the muscle and nerves, as compared with Inflammatory Bowel Disease

where the epithelium is a prominent and major target. Therefore, even if immunocompetent cells are contributing to the pathogenesis of irritable bowel syndrome, they are doing so by means that are not intuitively obvious and may involve mechanisms different than those

5 in Inflammatory Bowel Disease.

The present invention is directed to a method of treatment of irritable bowel syndrome and related disorders.

Accordingly, in one embodiment of the present invention, there is
10 provided a method of treating an individual having irritable bowel syndrome or a related disorder, comprising the step of administering to the individual a pharmacologically effective dose of a luminally active anti-inflammatory or immunosuppressive compound with minimal or no systemic side effects.

15

In another embodiment of the present invention, there is provided a method of inhibiting the onset of symptoms of irritable bowel syndrome or a related disorder in an individual in need of such treatment, comprising the step of administering to the
20 individual a pharmacologically effective dose of a luminally active

anti-inflammatory or immunosuppressive compound with minimal or no systemic side effects.

Other and further aspects, features, and advantages of
5 the present invention will be apparent from the following description of the presently preferred embodiments of the invention. These embodiments are given for the purpose of disclosure.

10

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figure 1 shows a behavioral response in response to colorectal distention. **Figure 1A:** Adult rats sensitized with 0.2% acetic acid instilled into the rectum on neonatal day 10 and tested at 15 6 weeks age. **Figure 1B:** adult rats sensitized with 5% acetic acid at 6 weeks age and tested at 12 weeks.

Figure 2 shows that beclamethasone dipropionate treated rats showed higher pain threshold to graded CRD compared 20 to the vehicle treated group.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The present invention discloses the use of luminally active anti-inflammatory or immunosuppressive compound with 5 minimal or no systemic side effects that can be administered orally for the treatment of irritable bowel syndrome and related disorders. These compounds include steroids such as beclomethasone that are only minimally absorbed and others such as budesonide that though absorbed, undergo extensive first pass metabolism in the liver, and 10 do not exert significant systemic effects. Other compounds useful for the treatment of irritable bowel syndrome would include anti-inflammatory and immunosuppressive agents that share the same features as above compounds, i.e., they are active in the lumen of the GI tract but do not exert systemic effects because of poor 15 absorption or rapid metabolism by the liver before the reach the systemic circulation.

The target for these compounds is irritable bowel syndrome, a condition characterized by abdominal pain associated 20 with a change in bowel movements. This syndrome has traditionally been considered a “functional” gastrointestinal disorder because of

the lack of any obvious structural, morphological or histological changes. However, in recent years, there is increasing evidence to implicate a causative role for subtle inflammation in the form of infiltrating lymphocytes, mast cells and other immune-competent 5 cells. This inflammation is mild enough that it can easily be missed on routine histological examination, but may be biologically significant enough to provide the basis for the altered bowel movements and pain that these patients experience. Accordingly, anti-inflammatory or immunosuppressive therapy is a logical 10 approach to the treatment of these patients. However, traditional forms of these therapies are associated with significant systemic toxicity and may difficult to justify for the treatment of what is a chronic but generally benign syndrome. Anti-inflammatory agents that can be given orally but remain active only while in the lumen of 15 the gastrointestinal tract are therefore ideal agents for the treatment of this syndrome.

A novel feature of the present methodology resides in the fact that irritable bowel syndrome has not been considered an 20 inflammatory disorder. Even if irritable bowel syndrome is proven to be associated with significant inflammation, irritable bowel

syndrome is distinct from inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) (typically Crohn's and ulcerative colitis) both in terms of pathophysiology and clinical approach.

5 The present methodology may also be used to treat disorders related to irritable bowel syndrome that affect the stomach (functional dyspepsia) and esophagus (noncardiac chest pain). NUD refers to non-ulcer dyspepsia, which in many surveys is the most common cause of upper gastrointestinal symptoms in the 10 general population. Clinically, it may mimic peptic ulcer disease, delayed gastric emptying or even gastroesophageal reflux but no evidence of these is found on diagnostic testing. NCCP or noncardiac chest pain, is a syndrome of unexplained chest pain that may mimic an acute coronary event but no evidence of cardiac 15 disease can be found. It is present in as many as 50-75% of patients presenting to the emergency room with acute chest pain. Current thinking attributes these symptoms to generating from the esophagus.

20 Like irritable bowel syndrome, both non-ulcer dyspepsia and noncardiac chest pain are categorized as functional

gastrointestinal disorders, i.e., collections of symptoms attributable to the gastrointestinal tract in the absence of mucosal, structural, or biochemical disease. They have common etiopathogenetic features, notably psychosocial disturbances, dysmotility, heightened 5 sensitivity, and, possibly, an association with a postinfective or postinflammatory state. Like irritable bowel syndrome, the pathophysiology of these conditions has been poorly characterised and the optimum treatment remains uncertain.

10 Thus, in accordance with the description above, the present invention is directed towards a method of treating an individual having irritable bowel syndrome or a related disorder, comprising the step of administering to said individual a pharmacologically effective dose of a luminally active anti- 15 inflammatory or immunosuppressive compound with minimal or no systemic side effects. In one aspect of this embodiment, the minimally-absorbable, luminally active anti-inflammatory compound is a steroid. One preferred steroid is beclomethasone in the form of beclomethasone dipropionate. In a preferred embodiment, the 20 beclomethasone dipropionate is DOR Biopharma's orBec™. The orBec™ formulation allows for larger doses of beclomethasone

dipropionate to be delivered to the afflicted area without systemic side effects associated with other steroids because of intestinal hydrolysis of the propionate residues, high first-pass effect conversion to beclomethasone (a weak steroid), incomplete 5 absorption and rapid deactivation of the most active steroid by the hepatic metabolism. Typically, the orBec™ is administered in a dose of from about 0.1 mg/kg to about 20 mg/kg. In a more preferred embodiment, orBec™ is administered in a dose of from about 1 mg/d to about 3 mg/d.

10

In one aspect of this embodiment, the luminally active anti-inflammatory compound with minimal or no systemic side effects is budesonide. Alternatively, other compounds such as clobetasol, halbetasol, fluocinonide, halcinonide, betamethasone, 15 mometasone, alclometasone, triamcinolone or fluocinolone may be used.

Alternatively, an immunosuppressive compound such as methotrexate, azothiorpine, 6 mercaptopurine, cyclosporine and 20 FK506 may be used in the methods of the present invention.

The compounds described above may be used to treat or prevent not only irritable bowel syndrome but also related disorders such as non-ulcer dyspepsia or noncardiac chest pain.

5 In another embodiment, the present invention is directed towards a method of inhibiting the onset of symptoms of irritable bowel syndrome or a related disorder in an individual in need of such treatment, comprising the step of administering to the individual a prophylactically effective dose of a luminally active
10 anti-inflammatory or immunosuppressive compound with minimal or no systemic side effects. The compounds useful in preventing or inhibiting the onset of symptoms of irritable bowel syndrome or a related disorder are described above with respect to treatment of these disorders.

15

EXAMPLE

Effect of oral beclamethasone dipropionate (BDP) on acetic acid sensitized rats

20 Neonatal sensitization with acetic acid: Description of the model. It was previously shown that transient noxious

stimulation of the colon during postnatal development can cause long-lasting hyperalgesia, despite complete resolution of the initiating event. In a variation of this technique, 0.3% acetic acid was instilled into the rectum of rats at postnatal days 7-10. This 5 results in sustained hyperalgesia and allodynia to graded colorectal distention as measured between weeks 4-12, using both behavioral assays and visceromotor reflexes (using EMG recordings from the anterior oblique muscle). By contrast, when rats are treated with acetic acid as adults, and tested after a similar period of time, no 10 sensitization is observed.

Sprague Dawley rat pups were sensitized on neonatal day 10 with acetic acid. In brief, a thin polyethylene tubing (P-90 was introduced gently into the rectum 2 cm from the anus. 0.2 ml of 15 0.5 % glacial acetic acid in normal saline was injected through the tube into the colo rectum. The pups were allowed to grow into adults. When the rats were approximately eight weeks old they were weighed and their behavioral responses to graded (10 mm-80 mm Hg) colo rectal distention (CRD) was determined.

20

Colo Rectal Distention (CRD):

Under light brevital (1%) anesthesia a polyethelene tube connected to a balloon(made out of a glove finger) was guided into the colo-rectum ~ 8cms from the rectum and the tube was fastened 5 to the tail. The open end was connected to the barostat device. The rat was put into a rat restrainer allowing limited movement. After the rat was acclimatized to the restrainer (~half an hour) the colon was distended (between 10 mm-80 mm Hg) for 20 seconds with a rest period of 2 minutes and their behavioral response grading was 10 noted. 0=normal, 1= abdominal contractions, 2=lifting of abdominal wall and 3=body arching and lifting of pelvic structure.

Oral BDP treatment

The sensitized rats were orally fed with beclamethasone 15 dipropionate dissolved in corn oil (1.5 mg/kg body weight) daily for two weeks. Control group received equal volume of the vehicle (corn oil). After two weeks the rats were again tested for their behavioral response to graded CRD.

As shown in Figure 2, beclamethasone dipropionate treated 20 rats showed higher pain threshold to graded CRD compared to the vehicle treated group.

Any patents or publications mentioned in this specification are indicative of the levels of those skilled in the art to which the invention pertains. Further, these patents and 5 publications are incorporated by reference herein to the same extent as if each individual publication was specifically and individually indicated to be incorporated by reference.

One skilled in the art will appreciate readily that the 10 present invention is well adapted to carry out the objects and obtain the ends and advantages mentioned, as well as those objects, ends and advantages inherent herein. The present examples, along with the methods, procedures, treatments, molecules, and specific compounds described herein are presently representative of 15 preferred embodiments, are exemplary, and are not intended as limitations on the scope of the invention. Changes therein and other uses will occur to those skilled in the art which are encompassed within the spirit of the invention as defined by the scope of the claims.